Cellular functions of long noncoding RNAs

Run-Wen Yao^{1,3}, Yang Wang^{1,3} and Ling-Ling Chen^{1,2*}

A diverse catalog of long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs), which lack protein-coding potential, are transcribed from the mammalian genome. They are emerging as important regulators in gene expression networks by controlling nuclear architecture and transcription in the nucleus and by modulating mRNA stability, translation and post-translational modifications in the cytoplasm. In this Review, we highlight recent progress in cellular functions of IncRNAs at the molecular level in mammalian cells.

A pproximately 80% of the mammalian genome is transcribed in a cell-specific manner, particularly noncoding regions¹. Only a small portion of the mammalian genome is transcribed into protein-coding mRNAs, and the vast majority produces numerous long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs)². lncRNAs comprise various RNA species longer than 200 nucleotides (nt) that are not translated into proteins. These include mRNA-like intergenic transcripts (lincRNAs) (Fig. 1a), antisense transcripts of protein-coding genes³ (Fig. 1b) and primary RNA polymerase II (Pol II) transcript-derived unconventional lncRNAs whose stabilization can be achieved by RNase P cleavage to generate a mature 3' end of a U-A-U triple-helix structure^{4,5} (Fig. 1c), by capping by snoRNA-protein complexes (snoRNP)⁶⁻⁸ (Fig. 1d,e) or by forming covalently closed circular structures to prevent exonucleolytic degradation⁹⁻¹¹ (Fig. 1f,g).

Transcriptional regulatory elements such as enhancers and promoters can often initiate Pol II transcription bidirectionally, producing enhancer RNAs (eRNAs)12 and promoter upstream transcripts (PROMPTs)13. Though eRNAs have been reported to have enhancer-like functions^{14,15}, their depletion did not suppress enhancer activity in multiple cases¹², casting doubt on their function. So far, PROMPTs lack an apparent function; instead, their rapid degradation has been associated with the choice of promoter directionality¹³. Some studies have shown that the act of transcription or the DNA elements within lncRNA loci exert regulatory effects, whereas the produced lncRNAs do not¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Furthermore, a subset of annotated lncRNAs can be translated into short polypeptides^{19,20}. Despite arguments as to whether specific lncRNAs themselves are functional, recent advances in lncRNA research (Table 1) have demonstrated their involvement in different aspects of gene regulation in diverse cellular contexts and biological processes. In this Review, we discuss specific examples of how lncRNAs regulate chromatin organization and transcription in the nucleus and modulate mRNA stability, translation and post-translational modification (PTM) in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2).

Functions of IncRNAs in the nucleus

Although most annotated lncRNAs are mRNA-like, they are less abundant and less evolutionarily conserved, and contain fewer exons compared to mRNAs^{1,2,21}. lncRNAs are generally more nuclear localized than mRNAs², in part owing to inefficient splicing and polyadenylation and susceptibility to degradation by exosomes on chromatin^{22,23}. Harbouring *cis* elements that are associated with nuclear proteins also prevents their nuclear export^{24,25}. A short C-rich sequence derived from *Alu* elements, which are primatespecific short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), promotes lncRNA nuclear retention via association with the nuclear matrix protein HNRNPK^{26,27}. In addition, lncRNAs with unconventional forms (Fig. 1c–g) accumulate in the nucleus because of their unusual biogenesis pathways and specific *cis* elements^{6,7,11,28}. Once localized to the nucleus, lncRNAs can be important regulators of nuclear organization and function (Fig. 2a).

Roles of IncRNAs in chromatin architecture

Interphase chromosomes are highly organized to achieve coordinated transcriptional regulation²⁹. lncRNAs can regulate genome organization at different levels (Fig. 2b–d).

IncRNAs can regulate chromosome architecture. In female mammals, X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) occurs to silence one of the two X chromosomes during early embryonic development to achieve dosage compensation. The X-inactive-specific transcript (Xist), transcribed from the future inactive X chromosome (Xi), is strictly localized within the boundary of its chromosome territory across almost the entire Xi³⁰ and triggers a cascade of events that entails chromosome remodelling to achieve stable silencing with relatively few genes remaining active^{31,32}. Accompanying these silencing cascades, the heterochromatic Barr body of Xi is formed through chromosome condensation³⁰, which is preferentially localized to the perinucleolar compartment and nuclear lamina³³⁻³⁵. Comprehensive in-cell Xist-interactome analyses of crosslinked RNA-protein complexes (Table 1) revealed that the lamin B receptor (LBR), an integral component of nuclear lamina, is associated with Xist³⁶. In mouse cells LBR depletion or LBR-Xist interaction disruption impaired Xi recruitment to the nuclear lamina and the subsequent Xist-mediated XCI, indicating that Xist may reshape the chromatin architecture by recruiting Xi to nuclear lamina³⁵. Such recruitment may constrain chromosomal mobility and enable Xist and its associated silencing complexes to spread across the Xi (Fig. 2b). However, it is worthwhile noting that re-examination³⁷ of the sequencing data in this study³⁵ raised inconsistencies regarding the role of LBR in XCI³⁷, suggesting that this model (Fig. 2b) still warrants further investigation.

In contrast to Xist, some highly repetitive RNAs, C_0 T-1 RNAs, including long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), may act to decompact chromatin. These RNAs are associated with euchromatin and are excluded from Xist-condensed chromatin. Loss of C_0 T-1 RNAs results in aberrant chromatin condensation, suggesting a positive role in chromatin opening³⁸.

IncRNAs modulate inter- and intrachromosomal interactions. Firre (functional intergenic repeating RNA element) is transcribed from the

¹State Key Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Andrology, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China. ²School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China. ³These authors contributed equally: Run-Wen Yao, Yang Wang. *e-mail: linglingchen@sibcb.ac.cn

FOCUS | REVIEW ARTICLE



Fig. 1 The diversity of IncRNAs in mammalian cells. a, Large intervening/intergenic noncoding RNAs (lincRNAs), transcribed by Pol II from intergenic regions, are presumably capped, spliced and polyadenylated. **b**, Natural antisense transcripts (NATs) are transcribed from the opposite strands of protein-coding genes by Pol II and are presumably mRNA-like IncRNAs. **c**, MALAT1 and NEAT1_2 are processed by RNase P and stabilized by U-A-U triple helix structures at their 3' ends. Their 3'-end products are further processed to form MALAT1-associated small cytoplasmic RNA (mascRNAs), which are -60 nt in length and have unknown functions. **d**, SnoRNA-ended IncRNAs (sno-IncRNAs) are derived from excised introns. During splicing, formation of a snoRNP complex at each end protects the intronic sequences from degradation, leading to the accumulation of sno-IncRNAs flanked by snoRNAs but lacking a 5' m⁷G cap and 3' poly(A) tail. **e**, 5' snoRNA-ended and 3'-polyadenylated IncRNAs (SPAs) are derived from readthrough transcripts, and their 5' ends are protected by co-transcriptionally assembled snoRNPs. **f**, Circular intronic RNAs (ciRNAs) are derived from excised introns and depend on consensus RNA sequences to avoid debranching of the lariat introns. **g**, Circular RNA (circRNAs) are produced by back-splicing circularization of exons of pre-mRNAs. During splicing, pre-mRNAs can be spliced into mRNAs or back-spliced into circRNAs.

X chromosome and escapes XCI. It contains repeats of 156-nt motifs that bind to hnRNPU²⁴. Aside from accumulation near its transcription site, Firre was found in five additional autosomal chromosomal loci through genome-wide mapping in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells using RAP (RNA antisense purification)²⁴ followed by sequencing (Table 1). Depletion of Firre or hnRNPU abolished Firre accumulation and these transchromosomal contacts²⁴, suggesting that Firre functions as a scaffold to modulate interchromosomal interactions (Fig. 2c).

CCAT1-L (colorectal cancer associated transcript 1, long isoform) modulates intrachromatin loops between enhancers and promoters. Transcribed from a colorectal cancer (CRC)-specific super enhancer upstream of the human *MYC* gene, CCAT1-L promotes the transcription and oncogenic effect of MYC³⁹. Mechanistically, CCAT1-L exclusively accumulates at its transcription site, interacts with CTCF and facilitates the formation of enhancer-promoter loops at the *MYC* locus³⁹(Fig. 2d).

Roles of IncRNAs in chromatin remodelling

Many nuclear localized lncRNAs are associated with chromatin and involved in chromatin remodelling either *in cis* (near their

Table 1 | Methods for studying cellular roles of IncRNAs

Category of the experimental strategies		Description	Applications in understanding cellular roles of a particular IncRNA of interest
Genetic manipulation	Modulate gene expression	Loss of function or gain of function at either DNA or RNA levels	 Zinc-finger nuclease (ZNF)-mediated poly(A) knock-in to silence MALAT1¹³³ expression Transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN)-mediated knock-in to activate CCAT1³⁹ CRISPR-Cas9-mediated knockout^{7,8,17}, CRISPR interference (CRISPR^{18,134}) or CRISPR activation (CRISPRa^{135,136}) to interfere with gene expression at the DNA level The programmable RNA-guided RNA-targeting CRISPR-Cas13 system to knock down nuclear retained lncRNAs: HOTTIP¹³⁷, XIST¹³⁸ and MALAT1^{137,138}
Interaction partners	Identify IncRNA-associated DNA, RNA and protein	Using antisense oligos of a IncRNA to capture its associated DNA, RNA and protein	 Chromatin isolation by RNA purification (CHIRP): HOTAIR⁵¹, roX2⁵¹, TERC⁵¹, XIST⁴⁸ Capture hybridization analysis of RNA targets (CHART): MALAT1¹⁰⁹, NEAT1¹⁰⁹ and XIST¹³⁹ RNA antisense purification (RAP): FIRRE²⁴, XIST^{36,140} and MALAT1¹¹⁰
	Identify protein-associated IncRNAs	Using antibodies of a protein of interest to identify its associated RNAs	 Crosslinking: Crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (CLIP¹⁴¹), Individual nucleotide resolution CLIP (iCLIP⁷), photoactivatable ribonucleoside-enhanced CLIP (PAR-CLIP¹²⁴), enhanced CLIP (eCLIP¹⁴²), etc. Non-crosslinking RNA immunoprecipitation and sequencing (RIP-seq⁷)
Subcellular localization	Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)	Using antisense probes with fluorescence to visualize and quantify IncRNA localization in situ	 Regular RNA FISH: using fluorescent DNA or RNA probes to visualize IncRNAs in cells and tissues, for example, SPAs⁷, XIST^{40,45,140}, CCAT1³⁹, NEAT1^{82,88}, MALAT1¹⁰³ Single molecule FISH (smFISH): using probes consisting of multiple fluorescent oligonucleotides (usually 25-48 oligos) to visualize and quantify individual RNAs, for example, GAS5 and PVT1, etc¹⁴³, Firre²⁴, NEAT1^{26,80,144}, and Inc-DC¹³¹ Large-scale FISH: sequential FISH (seqFISH¹⁴⁵) and multiplexed error- robust FISH (MerFISH¹⁴⁶) using combinatorial labeling with encoding schemes to simultaneously measure the copy number and spatial distribution of many RNA species in single cells
	RNA tracking	Living cell imaging to visualize localization and dynamics of RNA	 Stem-loop labeling and fluorescence protein tagging by MS2-MCP, PP7- PCP or BoxB-λN systems to enable the visualization of RNAs in live cells, for example, NEAT1⁷⁸ Molecular beacons are hairpin shaped molecules with an internally quenched fluorophore whose fluorescence is restored when they bind to a target nucleic acid sequence. It enables the visualization of NEAT1¹⁴⁷ and HOTAIR¹⁴⁷ with minimal targeted genomic engineering Targeting RNA in living cells with CRISPR-Cas13¹³⁸ and CRISPR- dCas9¹⁴⁸ systems
	Microscopic techniques	High resolution microscopy to detect localization details	 Structured illumination microscopy (SIM) reveals a low PRC2-Xist colocalization⁴⁵, the subnucleolar localization of SLERT⁸ and paraspeckles assembled by NEAT1^{80,82} at 100-nm resolution Stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) reveals Xist stoichiometry⁴⁶ and Xist cloud size¹⁴⁹ at 20-nm resolution

A combination of experimental strategies including genetic manipulation, identification of IncRNA-protein complexes, and visualization of cellular localization can be used to understand cellular function of a lncRNA of interest. See text for details.

transcription sites) or *in trans* (at sites distant from their transcription sites) (Fig. 2e,f).

super-resolution microscopy 45,46 (Table 1) are difficult to reconcile with this model.

IncRNAs promote the recruitment of chromatin modifiers. The best studied example of the 'recruitment' model is Xistmediated XCI, extensively reviewed elsewhere^{31,32}. Upon transcription, Xist localizes to and remains associated with the future Xi depending on hnRNPU^{40,41} and CIZ1 (ref. ⁴²). A model for Xist-mediated XCI is Xist directly recruiting components of Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), leading to deposition of histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) chromosomewide to establish repressive chromatin across Xi⁴³. However, recent findings that PRC2 interacts promiscuously with RNA⁴⁴ and that PRC2 subunits are sparsely co-localized with Xist under New techniques and forward genetics have provided more compelling evidence for dissecting the molecular pathway of Xistmediated XCI. The Polycomb recruitment is likely initiated with the interaction of the noncanonical Polycomb group RING finger 3/5 (PCGF3/5)–PRC1 complex with a 4-kb region immediately downstream of *Xist* exon 1. PCGF3/5–PRC1-mediated ubiquitylation of histone H2A lysine 119 (H2AK119u1) then facilitates the recruitment of other noncanonical PRC1 complexes and PRC2. Pcgf3/5 knockout animals had defective XCI and showed female-specific embryo lethality⁴⁷. Quantitative mass spectrometry (RAP–MS) of Xist-associated proteins captured by purification of crosslinked complexes (Table 1) revealed that Xist directly interacts with the

FOCUS | REVIEW ARTICLE



Fig. 2 | Cellular functions of IncRNAs. a, A snapshot of cellular functions of IncRNAs with examples shown in b-n. b, Xist modulates inactive X chromosome (Xi) architecture during X chromosome inactivation (XCI) by recruiting Xi to associate with the lamin B receptor (LBR) at the nuclear lamina to silence transcription. c, Firre transcripts localize to their transcription site and five additional autosomal chromosomal loci in trans to affect interactions between distant genomic regions. d, CCAT1-L accumulates in cis to modulate chromatin loops between enhancers and the promoter of MYC. e, IncRNAs regulate chromatin accessibility. Left, Xist recruits HDAC1-associated repressor protein (SHARP), silencing the mediator for retinoid and thyroid hormone receptor (SMART) and HDAC3 to silence Xi. Right, Mhrt prevents SWI/SNF binding to corresponding DNA loci. f, Khps1 enhances Pol II transcription by forming an R-loop that anchors Khps1-interacting p300/CBP to the SPHK1 promoter. g, IncRNAs interfere with Pol II transcription machineries both at the initiation (left) and elongation (right) stages. h, SLERT promotes Pol I transcription by binding DDX21 to alter its conformation, thereby releasing its inhibitory effect on Pol I. i, NEAT1 is an architectural lncRNA that nucleates paraspeckles. Upon cellular stress, altered NEAT1 transcription and processing lead to changes of paraspeckles. PSP, paraspeckle proteins. j, MALAT1 interacts with SR proteins and alters their phosphorylation to impact pre-mRNA splicing in splicing speckles. k, A regulatory network consisting of different types of ncRNAs. Cyrano, harbouring miR-7 binding sites, targets miR-7 for degradation and prevents miR-7 from repressing its target RNAs including the circRNA Cdr1as. I, IncRNAs modulate mRNA stability by associating with proteins involved in mRNA degradation. Left, double-stranded RNAs formed by Alu-containing IncRNAs with mRNA 3' UTRs recruit STAU1 to induce STAU1-mediated mRNA decay (SMD). Right, NORAD stabilizes PUMILIO 1/2 (PUM1/2)-targeted mRNAs via sequestering PUM1/2 from mRNAs. m, IncRNAs regulate translation. Association of lincRNA-p21 (linc-p21) with HuR favours the recruitment of let-7/Ago2, leading to its destabilization. In the absence of HuR, lincRNA-p21 identifies mRNA targets to repress their translation by recruiting the translation repressor Rck¹²⁹. RISC, RNA-induced silencing complex. n, IncRNAs modulate post-translational modifications. Lnc-DC directly interacts with STAT3 to prevent its dephosphorylation by SHP1.

REVIEW ARTICLE | FOCUS

silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid hormone receptor (SMART)/histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1)-associated repressor protein (SHARP). SHARP in turn recruits SMART and its interacting HDAC3, leading to histone deacetylation and transcriptional repression^{36,48}. This stepwise recruitment of chromatin-modulating proteins to the future Xi ultimately leads to widespread transcriptional silencing (Fig. 2e). Interestingly, SHARP knockdown had no effect on Xi recruitment to nuclear lamina³⁵, suggesting that XCI regulation by changes in the chromosomal territory occurs prior to local chromatin modifications.

Another example is Hotair (Hox antisense intergenic RNA) expressed from the HoxC locus in mammals. It was reported that Hotair suppressed HoxD gene expression in trans via direct recruitment of PRC2 to the HoxD locus⁴⁹ and that Hotair loss in mice led to homeotic transformation and derepression of genes including HoxD⁵⁰. RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) and biotinylated RNA pull-down assays (Table 1) revealed interactions of Hotair with PRC2 components⁴⁹. Chromatin isolation by RNA purification (ChIRP) (Table 1) revealed that Hotair preferentially occupied a GA-rich DNA motif correlating with domains of PRC2 occupancy and H3K37me3 (ref. 51), indicating that an RNA:DNA:DNA triplex may recruit the Hotair-chromatin modification complex to establish a repressed chromatin status. However, controversial discoveries were reported regarding the roles of Hotair in Hox gene regulation and mouse development⁵²⁻⁵⁴. Deleting Hotair⁵² or Hotair deletion mutant alleles combined with LacZ knock-in⁵³ independently showed little effect on mouse embryonic development. Furthermore, deleting Hotair had no detectable effect on HoxD gene expression in mice52; HOTAIR overexpression in breast cancer cells led to subtle transcriptional changes independent of PRC2, and artificial tethering of HOTAIR to a luciferase reporter locus resulted in PRC2-independent repression⁵⁴. These different phenotypes of Hotair knockout mouse models, together with the facts that in vitro binding and RIP experiments can yield false-positive interactions⁵⁵ and that PRC2 tends to interact with RNA nonspecifically, suggest that the challenge for the field is to understand what happens in vivo and to explore mechanisms by which Hotair, and other lncRNAs with similar features, act to modulate gene expression.

IncRNAs prevent the recruitment of chromatin modifiers. In addition to the 'recruitment' model, lncRNAs can act as 'decoys' to prevent the interaction of histone or chromatin modifiers to specific DNA loci. Mhrt (myosin heavy-chain-associated RNA transcripts) is a cluster of alternatively spliced, nucleus-retained lncRNAs originating from the antisense transcription of the myosin heavy chain 7 gene⁵⁶. Mhrt protects the heart from pathological hypertrophy through antagonizing Brg1 (ref. ⁵⁶), the catalytic subunit of the BAF chromatin-remodelling complex known to promote pathological cardiac hypertrophy. Mechanistically, Mhrt sequesters Brg1 from targeting genomic loci through associating with the RNA helicase domain, which Brg1 uses for DNA binding (Fig. 2e)⁵⁶.

Another example is lncPRESS1, which is highly expressed in human ES cells and promotes pluripotency. It interacts with SIRT6, a class III HDAC that removes the acetyl group from H3K56 and H3K9, and prevents its presence at pluripotency gene promoters, resulting in high levels of H3K56 and H3K9 acetylation, activating transcription⁵⁷.

Importantly, a stoichiometric interaction between *trans*-acting lncRNAs and their interacting proteins is required for a measurable effect of these lncRNAs on the activity or abundance of associated proteins. Thus, the copy number of the lncRNA and its targeted protein(s) should be quantified to determine the feasibility of this type of mechanism.

Roles of IncRNAs in transcriptional regulation

lncRNAs can directly regulate transcription by forming R-loop structures to recruit transcription factors (TFs) or by interfering

with Pol II transcription machineries at targeted loci (Fig. 2f-h). The act of transcription and DNA elements within the lncRNA locus, rather the lncRNA itself, can also contribute.

IncRNAs regulate transcription by forming R-loops. Some antisense lncRNAs regulate sense mRNA transcription by forming R-loops (triple-stranded nucleic acid structures with RNA hybridized to duplex DNA). Local formation of R-loops can tether the lncRNA in cis and recruit transcription cofactors to corresponding promoter regions. For example, Khps1 is transcribed in the antisense orientation to the proto-oncogene SPHK1. Tethering Khps1 to a homopurine stretch upstream of the SPHK1 transcription start site (TSS) leads to the formation of an R-loop that anchors Khps1interacting histone acetyltransferase p300/CBP to the SPHK1 promoter (Fig. 2f). Such recruitment increases local chromatin accessibility, which facilitates E2F1 binding and enhances E2F1dependent SPHK1 expression and restriction of E2F1-induced apoptosis⁵⁸. In another example, human *vimentin* (VIM), a type III intermediate filament gene associated with enhanced cell migration and invasion, harbours a head-to-head antisense transcript, VIM-AS1. VIM-AS1 forms an R-loop near VIM TSS. This R-loop promotes VIM transcription by inducing local chromatin decondensation to favour NF-kB binding to the promoter⁵⁹.

Such *in cis* regulatory mechanism by DNA–RNA base pairing is compatible with generally low levels of antisense transcriptionderived lncRNAs, as only two copies of target DNA molecules are present per cell. However, not all lncRNA-mediated R-loop formation is involved in transcriptional regulation. TERRA (telomeric repeat-containing RNA), transcribed at telomeres in a conserved manner from yeast to humans, forms DNA–RNA hybrids at chromosome ends to promote homologous recombination among telomeres and sustains genome stability^{60,61}.

Finally, not all divergently transcribed lncRNAs act *in cis*. Divergently transcribed from the promoter region of *DDX11*, CONCR (cohesion regulator noncoding RNA) does not affect DDX11 expression, but instead directly interacts with DDX11 and enhances its enzymatic activity as an ATPase and helicase in DNA replication and sister chromatid cohesion⁶².

IncRNAs interfere with Pol II machineries. Human *Alu* and mouse SINE *B2* RNAs, transcribed by RNA polymerase III (Pol III), can suppress transcription initiation during heat shock^{63,64}. *Alu* RNA was found to incorporate into Pol II complexes at promoters and block transcription initiation in a purified Pol II transcription system and in cells (Fig. 2g). *Alu* RNA contains two loosely structured domains that each bind one Pol II molecule and are essential for transcriptional suppression. Once *Alu* is removed from pre-initiation complexes, transcription is restored.

Transcription elongation is also modulated by lncRNAs. Like *Alu* and *B2* RNAs, 7SK RNA is another abundant RNA transcribed by Pol III. It binds with the positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb) and suppresses its kinase activity, which is required for Pol II elongation⁶⁵ (Fig. 2g). As part of the 7SK RNA–protein complex, the DEAD-box RNA helicase DDX21 facilitates the release of P-TEFb from this inhibitory complex in an RNA helicase-dependent manner, leading to increased phosphorylation of Ser2 of the C-terminal domain of elongating Pol II and productive elongation⁶⁶ (Fig. 2g).

RNA polymerase I (Pol I) transcription can also be regulated by IncRNAs. For example, SLERT (snoRNA-ended lncRNA enhances preribosomal RNA transcription) is translocated from its transcription site into the nucleolus depending on its snoRNA ends. In the nucleolus, SLERT interacts with DDX21, which binds to and represses Pol I in an RNA-helicase-independent manner. SLERT binding alters DDX21 conformation and releases the inhibitory interaction between DDX21 and Pol I machinery, thereby promoting rDNA transcription⁸ (Fig. 2h).

NATURE CELL BIOLOGY

Transcription or the lncRNA locus regulate transcription. Transcription or DNA sequences in some lncRNA loci, but not the transcripts themselves, is responsible for local gene regulation. Through genetic manipulation of 12 lncRNA-producing genomic loci in mouse ES cells, Engreitz et al. found that promoter knockouts at five of these loci up- or downregulated the expression of the nearby gene in an allele-specific manner¹⁷. Notably, three of these events were independent of lncRNA transcripts, but involved IncRNA production-associated processes, including the enhancerlike activity of promoters, the transcription process and the alternative splicing. For example, blocking the generation of lncRNA Blustr through promoter deletion, poly(A) site insertion, and the mutation of its first 5' splice site all impaired its downstream Sfmbt2 expression. The extent of Blustr transcription, not the specific RNA sequence, correlated with its cis-activating effect. Thus, the expression of nearby gene is controlled by transcription and splicing of Blustr RNA, rather than the lncRNA product¹⁷.

Similar findings were observed for Air, a paternally expressed antisense lncRNA of Igf2r required for silencing the paternal $Igf2r^{67,68}$, and for Upperhand (Uph), a cardiac-enriched lncRNA co-transcribed bidirectionally with the cardiac TF Hand2 (ref. ¹⁶). Air transcriptional overlap with the Igf2r promoter suppresses Pol II recruitment to chromatin, thereby silencing $Igf2r^{68}$. Additionally, transcription of Uph has been suggested to maintain the superenhancer signature for local gene regulation¹⁶. Notably, such effects are not limited to lncRNA loci, as knockouts at protein-coding loci also led to altered expression of a neighboring gene¹⁷.

Sequences within lncRNA loci have also been implicated as regulatory DNA elements. PVT1 (Plasmacytoma Variant Translocation 1) is transcribed downstream of *MYC*. It interacts with the MYC protein and interferes with its phosphorylation at Thr58, a modification that promotes MYC degradation⁶⁹. A recent study to manipulate the *PVT1* locus in mice has revealed a tumour-suppressor function of the *PVT1* promoter that is independent of the PVT1 lncRNA¹⁸. *PVT1* and *MYC* promoters are located 55 kb apart and compete for enhancer contact *in cis*, thereby allowing the *PVT1* promoter to suppress *MYC* transcription¹⁸.

Roles of IncRNAs in the regulation of nuclear bodies

Nuclear bodies (NBs) are dynamic, membraneless RNA-protein complexes⁷⁰. An increasing number of lncRNAs are found to regulate the integrity and function of NBs, altering gene expression at the post-transcriptional level (Fig. 2i,j).

IncRNAs act as architectural RNAs. Some lncRNAs function as the cores or scaffolds of NBs and are defined as 'architectural RNAs'⁷¹. One prominent example is nuclear enriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1)⁷², responsible for the formation of paraspeckles^{5,73-76}. Pol II transcription of a single exon located at human chr11 produces two isoforms, NEAT1_1 and NEAT1_2, as a consequence of alternative 3'-end processing⁷⁷ (Fig. 1c). Actions of both NEAT1_1 transcription⁷⁸ and NEAT1_2 (refs. ^{28,77}) are required for paraspeckle formation and maintenance. The number and morphology of paraspeckles are closely related to NEAT1 expression, especially under stress conditions^{79,80}. Electron microscopy (EM)⁸¹ and structured illumination microscopy (SIM)^{80,82} (Table 1) revealed the paraspeckle as a highly ordered, spheroidal structure with the 5' terminus of NEAT1_1 and both termini of NEAT1_2 in the outer shell and the middle region of NEAT1_2 in the inner core⁸⁰⁻⁸² (Fig. 2i).

At the cellular level, NEAT1 and paraspeckles play multiple roles in gene regulation. More than 40 proteins are localized to paraspeckles, including NONO and SFPQ^{77,83}. Sequestration of SFPQ within paraspeckles prevents its binding to promoters of specific immune-related genes^{79,84} or results in increased miRNA processing from certain introns⁸⁵. mRNAs with inverted repeats, mostly SINEs in mouse and *Alus* in human^{86,87}, in their 3' UTRs can be sequestered via NONO in paraspeckles. Such sequestration undergoes dynamic changes upon cellular stresses^{86,88} and during circadian rhythm⁸⁹, and involves the crosstalk with mitochondria⁸⁰ as well (Fig. 2i). Furthermore, NEAT1 is involved in the p53-mediated tumour suppressor pathway^{90,91}.

Though NEAT1 is highly expressed in most examined human cells⁷², it is not essential for overtly normal development in mice under laboratory growth conditions⁹². Neat1 is only highly expressed in certain adult mouse tissues, including the corpus luteum and developing mammary glands. Correspondingly, mice congenitally lacking Neat1 stochastically failed to become pregnant due to defects in corpus luteum and mammary gland^{93,94}. Future studies are warranted to reconcile the lack of strong phenotype in Neat1-deficient mice and emerging functions of NEAT1 and paraspeckle in human cells.

The assembly of other NBs also requires lncRNAs. Formation of nuclear stress bodies (nSBs) occurs in response to heat shock in a process initiated by transcription of satellite III (Sat III) tandem repeats95, trapping several splicing factors by Sat III. Similar observations were found in abundant snoRNA-ended lncRNAs (sno-lncRNAs, Fig. 1d)⁶ and 5' snoRNA-ended 3' polyadenylated lncRNAs (SPAs, Fig. 1e)⁷ associated with Prader–Willi syndrome (PWS), a neurodevelopmental genetic disorder. These lncRNAs are highly expressed, accumulate near their synthesis sites and sequester splicing factors to form 1~2 µm³ bodies in human ES cells⁷. In searching for additional NBs built on lncRNAs, screening using 32,651 fluorescently tagged human cDNA clones identified that localization of 32 proteins to NBs requires RNA71,96. These findings suggest additional unknown RNAs in the organization of RNA-protein assemblies. Such RNAs may not be classical lncRNAs. For example, intronic Alu elements originating from primary RNA Pol II transcripts were shown to be involved in modulating nucleolus formation⁹⁷.

Non-architectural lncRNAs in nuclear bodies. Metastasisassociated lung carcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1)⁷² is one of the most abundant lncRNAs and localizes to nuclear speckles, a type of NB-enriched pre-mRNA processing factor⁹⁸. The MALAT1 primary transcript is cleaved at its 3' end by RNase P to generate the 7,500-nt mature MALAT1, stabilized by a triple-helix structure (Fig. 1c) and a 61-nt tRNA-like mascRNA (MALAT1-associated small cytoplasmic RNA)⁴.

Unlike NEAT1, knockdown of MALAT1 had little effect on the integrity of nuclear speckles^{99,100}. Nuclear speckles contain SON and SC35 proteins in the central region and MALAT1 and small nuclear (sn) RNAs at the periphery¹⁰¹. MALAT1 interacts with SR proteins involved in RNA splicing and RNA export factors in nuclear speckles102-105. Modulating MALAT1 expression alters SR protein phosphorylation and disrupts the interaction of SR proteins with their target pre-mRNAs in cancer cells^{103,106} (Fig. 2j). Additionally, MALAT1 regulates endothelial cell function¹⁰⁷ and mammary cancer pathogenesis¹⁰⁸ by influencing splicing. MALAT1 is likely to be recruited to speckles and preferentially interacts with alternatively spliced pre-mRNAs in a protein-mediator-dependent manner, as shown by genome-wide CHART-seq¹⁰⁹ and RAP-seq studies¹¹⁰ (Table 1). On the basis of these observations, it has been proposed that MALAT1 may function as a 'scaffold' to enhance protein-protein, protein-RNA and protein-DNA interactions within or near nuclear speckles^{111,112}. Moreover, MALAT1 is associated with transcriptionally active genes^{109,110,113}, suggesting its direct involvement in transcriptional regulation. Despite its multiple functions at the cellular level, MALAT1 is not essential for mouse development or viability99,100.

Functions of IncRNAs in the cytoplasm

A number of lncRNAs are exported to the cytoplasm, where they regulate mRNA stability, modulate translation and interfere with PTMs (Fig. 2k-n).

IncRNAs regulate mRNA turnover. IncRNAs can influence mRNA turnover in several ways. First, they can regulate mRNA stability via associated miRNAs. Competitive endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) function as miRNA sponges by competing for miRNA binding, thereby derepressing miRNA targets^{114,115}. Although this mechanism has been proposed to broadly affect miRNA availability, it remains controversial given the inadequate amount of ceRNAs in most cases^{116,117}. Furthermore, the stability and abundance of a ceRNA and the copy number of miRNA binding sites on it must be considered.

A recent study has revealed a more complicated regulatory network consisting of different types of ncRNAs. A circular RNA, Cdr1as (cerebellar degeneration-related protein 1 antisense transcript) has been reported as a ceRNA to sponge miR-7 (refs. 10,118), In an effort to illustrate how Cdr1as orchestrates miRNA activity in mammalian brain, Kleaveland et al. applied gene editing in mice to probe molecular consequences of four ncRNAs, including a lncRNA Cyrano, Cdr1as, miR-7 and miR-671 (ref. 119). Cyrano also binds to miR-7, but instead of sequestering miR-7, this lncRNA facilitates its efficient destruction by promoting tailing and trimming of the 3' end to induce target-RNA-directed miRNA degradation, resulting in Cdr1as accumulation in the brain. Cyrano deficiency allows miR-7 to accumulate, causing Cdr1as degradation in neurons, in part through miR-671-mediated slicing¹¹⁹ (Fig. 2k). These findings show that the ceRNA theory is much more complicated than previously thought, and different types of ncRNAs may work collaboratively to establish a sophisticated regulatory network¹¹⁹.

Second, lncRNAs can modulate mRNA stability by recruiting proteins to degrade mRNA. For example, a group of lncRNAs containing *Alu* can activate Staufen 1 (STAU1)-mediated mRNA decay (SMD) *in trans*. SMD-targeted mRNAs contain *Alu* elements within 3'-UTRs, which can base pair with complementary *Alu* in lncRNAs to form double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs), a structure recognized by STAU1 (refs. ^{120,121}). These lncRNAs are called half-STAU1-binding site RNAs (1/2-sbsRNAs)¹²¹ (Fig. 2l). Similarly to ceRNAs¹¹⁴, an individual 1/2-sbsRNA can downregulate a subset of SMD targets, and distinct 1/2-sbsRNAs can downregulate the same SMD target¹²¹ (Fig. 2l).

Third, lncRNAs can function as molecular decoys for RBPs involved in mRNA decay. NORAD (noncoding RNA activated by DNA damage), an abundant and conserved lncRNA in mammals, acts as a reservoir of PUMILIO 1 and PUMILIO 2 (PUM1/2) in the cytoplasm to limit their availability to target mRNAs for degradation (Fig. 2l). PUM1/2 binds to the PUMILIO response element (PRE), an 8-nt sequence at the 3' UTR of target mRNAs¹²², and stimulates mRNA deadenylation and decapping, resulting in accelerated turnover and decreased translation¹²³. NORAD contains 15~17 PREs that preferentially bind to PUM1/2 in human cells^{124,125}. NORAD knockout cells showed increased chromosomal instability, possibly attributable to PUM1/2 hyperactivity that leads to broad downregulation of PUMILIO target mRNAs encoding proteins involved in genome stability^{124,125}. Čareful examination of the stoichiometry between lncRNA/RBP binding motifs and interacting RBPs should be carried out prior to applying this strategy to particular lncRNAs. Recently, NORAD was also found in the nucleus, where it assembles into a topoisomerase complex critical for genome stability upon replication stress and DNA damage¹²⁶.

IncRNAs regulate translation. Although IncRNAs are not translated, ribosome profiling has identified ribosome-associated IncRNAs¹²⁷. This is consistent with the notion that some annotated IncRNAs may be translated^{19,20}. However, ribosome profiling assays may yield questionable results. For instance, some strictly nuclear located lncRNAs like MALAT1 were found to associate with ribosomes¹²⁸, suggesting a limitation of ribosome profiling rather than the translation ability of lncRNAs. Another explanation for these findings is the involvement of lncRNAs in translation regulation.

For instance, lincRNA-p21 interacts with HuR, and such association favours the recruitment of let-7/Ago2 to destabilize lincRNA-p21 (ref. ¹²⁹). Upon loss of HuR, lincRNA-p21 accumulates and associates with JUNB and CTNNB1 mRNAs via base pairing to suppress their translation by recruiting the translation repressor Rck¹²⁹ (Fig. 2m). lncRNAs can also activate mRNA translation. *Uchl1* (ubiquitin carboxyterminal hydrolase L1) is a gene involved in brain function and neurodegeneration in mice. The lncRNA AS-Uchl1 (antisense to Uchl1) enhances the formation of active polysomes on Uchl1 mRNA and promotes its translation via a SINE *B2* segment complementary to a 73-nt region within the 5' end of Uchl1 mRNA¹³⁰.

IncRNAs interfere with PTMs. Several lncRNAs modulate PTMs by masking sites bound by PTM enzymes or PTM sites. A cytoplasmic lncRNA exclusively expressed in human conventional dendritic cells (DCs), termed lnc-DC, regulates the phosphorylation of STAT3, a TF that controls DC differentiation¹³¹. Lnc-DC directly binds to STAT3 and promotes phosphorylation on Tyr705 by preventing the binding of the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP1 (ref. ¹³¹; Fig. 2n). In another example, NKILA (NF- κ B interacting lncRNA) interacts with I κ B and interferes with its phosphorylation, leading to NF- κ B activation and suppression of breast cancer metastasis¹³².

Concluding remarks

Compared to other classes of ncRNAs, lncRNAs exhibit a surprisingly wide range of sizes, shapes and functions. These features have endowed them with previously underappreciated functional potentials; however, these have also presented experimental challenges for their analysis. Recent studies using robust methods (Table 1) have greatly advanced our understanding of lncRNA functions. Like proteins, lncRNAs have roles in all aspects of gene expression by different mechanisms of action. These versatile functions of lncRNAs depend on their subcellular localization and the adoption of specific structural modules with interacting partners, a process that may undergo dynamic changes in response to local environments in cells.

Received: 12 August 2018; Accepted: 11 March 2019; Published online: 2 May 2019

References

- 1. Djebali, S. et al. Landscape of transcription in human cells. *Nature* **489**, 101–108 (2012).
- Derrien, T. et al. The GENCODE v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression. *Genome Res.* 22, 1775–1789 (2012).
- Katayama, S. et al. Antisense transcription in the mammalian transcriptome. *Science* 309, 1564–1566 (2005).
- Wilusz, J. E., Freier, S. M. & Spector, D. L. 3' end processing of a long nuclear-retained noncoding RNA yields a tRNA-like cytoplasmic RNA. *Cell* 135, 919–932 (2008).
- Sunwoo, H. et al. MEN ε/β nuclear-retained non-coding RNAs are up-regulated upon muscle differentiation and are essential components of paraspeckles. *Genome Res.* 19, 347–359 (2009).
- 6. Yin, Q. F. et al. Long noncoding RNAs with snoRNA ends. *Mol. Cell* 48, 219–230 (2012).
- Wu, H. et al. Unusual processing generates SPA lncRNAs that sequester multiple RNA binding proteins. *Mol. Cell* 64, 534–548 (2016).
- Xing, Y. H. et al. SLERT regulates DDX21 rings associated with Pol I transcription. *Cell* 169, 664–678.e616 (2017).
- Salzman, J., Gawad, C., Wang, P. L., Lacayo, N. & Brown, P. O. Circular RNAs are the predominant transcript isoform from hundreds of human genes in diverse cell types. *PLoS One* 7, e30733 (2012).
- 10. Memczak, S. et al. Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. *Nature* **495**, 333–338 (2013).
- 11. Zhang, Y. et al. Circular intronic long noncoding RNAs. *Mol. Cell* 51, 792–806 (2013).
- Li, W., Notani, D. & Rosenfeld, M. G. Enhancers as non-coding RNA transcription units: recent insights and future perspectives. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 17, 207–223 (2016).

NATURE CELL BIOLOGY

FOCUS | REVIEW ARTICLE

- 13. Ntini, E. et al. Polyadenylation site-induced decay of upstream transcripts enforces promoter directionality. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**, 923–928 (2013).
- 14. Kim, T. K. et al. Widespread transcription at neuronal activity-regulated enhancers. *Nature* **465**, 182–187 (2010).
- Lam, M. T. et al. Rev-Erbs repress macrophage gene expression by inhibiting enhancer-directed transcription. *Nature* 498, 511–515 (2013).
- Anderson, K. M. et al. Transcription of the non-coding RNA upperhand controls Hand2 expression and heart development. *Nature* 539, 433–436 (2016).
- Engreitz, J. M. et al. Local regulation of gene expression by lncRNA promoters, transcription and splicing. *Nature* 539, 452–455 (2016).
- Cho, S. W. et al. Promoter of IncRNA gene PVT1 is a tumor-suppressor DNA boundary element. *Cell* 173, 1398–1412.e1322 (2018).
- Anderson, D. M. et al. A micropeptide encoded by a putative long noncoding RNA regulates muscle performance. *Cell* 160, 595-606 (2015).
- Nelson, B. R. et al. A peptide encoded by a transcript annotated as long noncoding RNA enhances SERCA activity in muscle. *Science* 351, 271–275 (2016).
- Cabili, M. N. et al. Integrative annotation of human large intergenic noncoding RNAs reveals global properties and specific subclasses. *Genes Dev.* 25, 1915–1927 (2011).
- Melé, M. et al. Chromatin environment, transcriptional regulation, and splicing distinguish lincRNAs and mRNAs. *Genome Res.* 27, 27–37 (2017).
- 23. Schlackow, M. et al. Distinctive patterns of transcription and RNA processing for human lincRNAs. *Mol. Cell* **65**, 25–38 (2017).
- Hacisuleyman, E. et al. Topological organization of multichromosomal regions by the long intergenic noncoding RNA Firre. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 21, 198–206 (2014).
- Zhang, B. et al. A novel RNA motif mediates the strict nuclear localization of a long noncoding RNA. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 34, 2318–2329 (2014).
- Shukla, C. J. et al. High-throughput identification of RNA nuclear enrichment sequences. *EMBO J.* 37, e98452 (2018).
- Lubelsky, Y. & Ulitsky, I. Sequences enriched in Alu repeats drive nuclear localization of long RNAs in human cells. *Nature* 555, 107–111 (2018).
- Yamazaki, T. et al. Functional domains of NEAT1 architectural lncRNA induce paraspeckle assembly through phase separation. *Mol. Cell* 70, 1038–1053.e1037 (2018).
- 29. Pombo, A. & Dillon, N. Three-dimensional genome architecture: players and mechanisms. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**, 245–257 (2015).
- Hall, L. L. & Lawrence, J. B. XIST RNA and architecture of the inactive X chromosome: implications for the repeat genome. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 75, 345–356 (2010).
- Jégu, T., Aeby, E. & Lee, J. T. The X chromosome in space. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 18, 377–389 (2017).
- Creamer, K. M. & Lawrence, J. B. XIST RNA: a window into the broader role of RNA in nuclear chromosome architecture. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 372, 20160360 (2017).
- Zhang, L. F., Huynh, K. D. & Lee, J. T. Perinucleolar targeting of the inactive X during S phase: evidence for a role in the maintenance of silencing. *Cell* 129, 693–706 (2007).
- Minajigi, A. et al. Chromosomes. A comprehensive Xist interactome reveals cohesin repulsion and an RNA-directed chromosome conformation. *Science* 349, aab2276 (2015).
- 35. Chen, C. K. et al. Xist recruits the X chromosome to the nuclear lamina to enable chromosome-wide silencing. *Science* **354**, 468–472 (2016).
- 36. McHugh, C. A. et al. The Xist lncRNA interacts directly with SHARP to silence transcription through HDAC3. *Nature* **521**, 232–236 (2015).
- Wang, C. Y., Froberg, J. E., Blum, R., Jeon, Y. & Lee, J. T. Comment on "Xist recruits the X chromosome to the nuclear lamina to enable chromosome-wide silencing". *Science* 356, eaal4976 (2017).
- Hall, L. L. et al. Stable C0T-1 repeat RNA is abundant and is associated with euchromatic interphase chromosomes. *Cell* 156, 907–919 (2014).
- Xiang, J. F. et al. Human colorectal cancer-specific CCAT1-L lncRNA regulates long-range chromatin interactions at the MYC locus. *Cell Res.* 24, 513–531 (2014).
- Hasegawa, Y. et al. The matrix protein hnRNP U is required for chromosomal localization of Xist RNA. *Dev. Cell* 19, 469–476 (2010).
- 41. Wang, J. et al. Unusual maintenance of X chromosome inactivation predisposes female lymphocytes for increased expression from the inactive X. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, E2029–E2038 (2016).
- Sunwoo, H., Colognori, D., Froberg, J. E., Jeon, Y. & Lee, J. T. Repeat E anchors Xist RNA to the inactive X chromosomal compartment through CDKN1A-interacting protein (CIZ1). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 114, 10654–10659 (2017).
- Zhao, J., Sun, B. K., Erwin, J. A., Song, J. J. & Lee, J. T. Polycomb proteins targeted by a short repeat RNA to the mouse X chromosome. *Science* 322, 750–756 (2008).
- 44. Davidovich, C. et al. Toward a consensus on the binding specificity and promiscuity of PRC2 for RNA. *Mol. Cell* **57**, 552–558 (2015).

- Cerase, A. et al. Spatial separation of Xist RNA and polycomb proteins revealed by superresolution microscopy. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 2235–2240 (2014).
- Sunwoo, H., Wu, J. Y. & Lee, J. T. The Xist RNA-PRC2 complex at 20-nm resolution reveals a low Xist stoichiometry and suggests a hit-and-run mechanism in mouse cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112, E4216–E4225 (2015).
- 47. Almeida, M. et al. PCGF3/5-PRC1 initiates Polycomb recruitment in X chromosome inactivation. *Science* **356**, 1081–1084 (2017).
- Chu, C. et al. Systematic discovery of Xist RNA binding proteins. *Cell* 161, 404–416 (2015).
- Rinn, J. L. et al. Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in human HOX loci by noncoding RNAs. *Cell* 129, 1311–1323 (2007).
- 50. Li, L. et al. Targeted disruption of Hotair leads to homeotic transformation and gene derepression. *Cell Rep* **5**, 3–12 (2013).
- Chu, C., Qu, K., Zhong, F. L., Artandi, S. E. & Chang, H. Y. Genomic maps of long noncoding RNA occupancy reveal principles of RNA-chromatin interactions. *Mol. Cell* 44, 667–678 (2011).
- Amândio, A. R., Necsulea, A., Joye, E., Mascrez, B. & Duboule, D. Hotair is dispensible for mouse development. *PLoS Genet.* 12, e1006232 (2016).
- Lai, K. M. et al. Diverse phenotypes and specific transcription patterns in twenty mouse lines with ablated lincRNAs. *PLoS One* 10, e0125522 (2015).
- 54. Portoso, M. et al. PRC2 is dispensable for *HOTAIR*-mediated transcriptional repression. *EMBO J.* **36**, 981–994 (2017).
- Mili, S. & Steitz, J. A. Evidence for reassociation of RNA-binding proteins after cell lysis: implications for the interpretation of immunoprecipitation analyses. *RNA* 10, 1692–1694 (2004).
- Han, P. et al. A long noncoding RNA protects the heart from pathological hypertrophy. *Nature* 514, 102–106 (2014).
- Jain, A. K. et al. LncPRESS1 is a p53-regulated lncRNA that safeguards pluripotency by disrupting SIRT6-mediated de-acetylation of histone H3K56. *Mol. Cell* 64, 967–981 (2016).
- Postepska-Igielska, A. et al. LncRNA Khps1 regulates expression of the proto-oncogene SPHK1 via triplex-mediated changes in chromatin structure. *Mol. Cell* 60, 626–636 (2015).
- Boque-Sastre, R. et al. Head-to-head antisense transcription and R-loop formation promotes transcriptional activation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112, 5785–5790 (2015).
- Azzalin, C. M., Reichenbach, P., Khoriauli, L., Giulotto, E. & Lingner, J. Telomeric repeat containing RNA and RNA surveillance factors at mammalian chromosome ends. *Science* 318, 798–801 (2007).
- 61. Graf, M. et al. Telomere length determines TERRA and R-loop regulation through the cell cycle. *Cell* **170**, 72–85.e14 (2017).
- 62. Marchese, F. P. et al. A long noncoding RNA regulates sister chromatid cohesion. *Mol. Cell* 63, 397-407 (2016).
- Mariner, P. D. et al. Human Alu RNA is a modular transacting repressor of mRNA transcription during heat shock. *Mol. Cell* 29, 499–509 (2008).
- Espinoza, C. A., Allen, T. A., Hieb, A. R., Kugel, J. F. & Goodrich, J. A. B2 RNA binds directly to RNA polymerase II to repress transcript synthesis. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 11, 822–829 (2004).
- Yang, Z., Zhu, Q., Luo, K. & Zhou, Q. The 7SK small nuclear RNA inhibits the CDK9/cyclin T1 kinase to control transcription. *Nature* 414, 317–322 (2001).
- Calo, E. et al. RNA helicase DDX21 coordinates transcription and ribosomal RNA processing. *Nature* 518, 249–253 (2015).
- 67. Sleutels, F., Zwart, R. & Barlow, D. P. The non-coding Air RNA is required for silencing autosomal imprinted genes. *Nature* **415**, 810–813 (2002).
- Latos, P. A. et al. Airn transcriptional overlap, but not its lncRNA products, induces imprinted Igf2r silencing. *Science* 338, 1469–1472 (2012).
- 69. Tseng, Y. Y. et al. PVT1 dependence in cancer with MYC copy-number increase. *Nature* **512**, 82–86 (2014).
- Staněk, D. & Fox, A. H. Nuclear bodies: news insights into structure and function. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 46, 94–101 (2017).
- Chujo, T., Yamazaki, T. & Hirose, T. Architectural RNAs (arcRNAs): a class of long noncoding RNAs that function as the scaffold of nuclear bodies. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1859, 139–146 (2016).
- Hutchinson, J. N. et al. A screen for nuclear transcripts identifies two linked noncoding RNAs associated with SC35 splicing domains. *BMC Genomics* 8, 39 (2007).
- Clemson, C. M. et al. An architectural role for a nuclear noncoding RNA: NEAT1 RNA is essential for the structure of paraspeckles. *Mol. Cell* 33, 717–726 (2009).
- Chen, L. L. & Carmichael, G. G. Altered nuclear retention of mRNAs containing inverted repeats in human embryonic stem cells: functional role of a nuclear noncoding RNA. *Mol. Cell* 35, 467–478 (2009).
- Bond, C. S. & Fox, A. H. Paraspeckles: nuclear bodies built on long noncoding RNA. J. Cell Biol. 186, 637–644 (2009).

REVIEW ARTICLE | FOCUS

- Sasaki, Y. T., Ideue, T., Sano, M., Mituyama, T. & Hirose, T. MENε/β noncoding RNAs are essential for structural integrity of nuclear paraspeckles. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106, 2525–2530 (2009).
- Naganuma, T. et al. Alternative 3'-end processing of long noncoding RNA initiates construction of nuclear paraspeckles. *EMBO J.* 31, 4020–4034 (2012).
- Mao, Y. S., Sunwoo, H., Zhang, B. & Spector, D. L. Direct visualization of the co-transcriptional assembly of a nuclear body by noncoding RNAs. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 95–101 (2011).
- Hirose, T. et al. NEAT1 long noncoding RNA regulates transcription via protein sequestration within subnuclear bodies. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 25, 169–183 (2014).
- Wang, Y. et al. Genome-wide screening of NEAT1 regulators reveals cross-regulation between paraspeckles and mitochondria. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 20, 1145–1158 (2018).
- Souquere, S., Beauclair, G., Harper, F., Fox, A. & Pierron, G. Highly ordered spatial organization of the structural long noncoding NEAT1 RNAs within paraspeckle nuclear bodies. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 21, 4020–4027 (2010).
 West, J. A. et al. Structural, super-resolution microscopy analysis of
- West, J. A. et al. Structural, super-resolution microscopy analysis of paraspeckle nuclear body organization. *J. Cell Biol.* 214, 817–830 (2016).
- Yamazaki, T. & Hirose, T. The building process of the functional paraspeckle with long non-coding RNAs. *Front. Biosci. (Elite Ed.)* 7, 1–41 (2015).
- Imamura, K. et al. Long noncoding RNA NEAT1-dependent SFPQ relocation from promoter region to paraspeckle mediates IL8 expression upon immune stimuli. *Mol. Cell* 53, 393–406 (2014).
- Jiang, L. et al. NEAT1 scaffolds RNA-binding proteins and the microprocessor to globally enhance pri-miRNA processing. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 24, 816–824 (2017).
- Prasanth, K. V. et al. Regulating gene expression through RNA nuclear retention. *Cell* 123, 249–263 (2005).
- Chen, L. L., DeCerbo, J. N. & Carmichael, G. G. Alu element-mediated gene silencing. *EMBO J.* 27, 1694–1705 (2008).
- Hu, S. B. et al. Protein arginine methyltransferase CARM1 attenuates the paraspeckle-mediated nuclear retention of mRNAs containing IRAlus. *Genes Dev.* 29, 630–645 (2015).
- Torres, M. et al. Circadian RNA expression elicited by 3'-UTR IRAluparaspeckle associated elements. *ELfie* 5, e14837 (2016).
- Adriaens, C. et al. p53 induces formation of NEAT1 lncRNA-containing paraspeckles that modulate replication stress response and chemosensitivity. *Nat. Med.* 22, 861–868 (2016).
- Mello, S. S. et al. *Neat1* is a p53-inducible lincRNA essential for transformation suppression. *Genes Dev.* 31, 1095–1108 (2017).
- Nakagawa, S., Naganuma, T., Shioi, G. & Hirose, T. Paraspeckles are subpopulation-specific nuclear bodies that are not essential in mice. *J. Cell Biol.* 193, 31–39 (2011).
- Nakagawa, S. et al. The lncRNA Neat1 is required for corpus luteum formation and the establishment of pregnancy in a subpopulation of mice. *Development* 141, 4618–4627 (2014).
- Standaert, L. et al. The long noncoding RNA Neat1 is required for mammary gland development and lactation. *RNA* 20, 1844–1849 (2014).
- Valgardsdottir, R. et al. Transcription of Satellite III non-coding RNAs is a general stress response in human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 423–434 (2008).
- Mannen, T., Yamashita, S., Tomita, K., Goshima, N. & Hirose, T. The Sam68 nuclear body is composed of two RNase-sensitive substructures joined by the adaptor HNRNPL. J. Cell Biol. 214, 45–59 (2016).
- 97. Caudron-Herger, M. et al. Alu element-containing RNAs maintain nucleolar structure and function. *EMBO J.* **34**, 2758–2774 (2015).
- Spector, D. L. & Lamond, A. I. Nuclear speckles. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 3, a000646 (2011).
- 99. Nakagawa, S. et al. Malat1 is not an essential component of nuclear speckles in mice. *RNA* **18**, 1487–1499 (2012).
- Zhang, B. et al. The lncRNA Malat1 is dispensable for mouse development but its transcription plays a cis-regulatory role in the adult. *Cell Rep.* 2, 111–123 (2012).
- Fei, J. et al. Quantitative analysis of multilayer organization of proteins and RNA in nuclear speckles at super resolution. J. Cell Sci. 130, 4180–4192 (2017).
- Änkö, M. L. et al. The RNA-binding landscapes of two SR proteins reveal unique functions and binding to diverse RNA classes. *Genome Biol.* 13, R17 (2012).
- Tripathi, V. et al. The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. *Mol. Cell* 39, 925–938 (2010).
- Latorre, E. et al. The ribonucleic complex HuR-MALAT1 represses CD133 expression and suppresses epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 76, 2626–2636 (2016).

- 105. Ji, Q. et al. Long non-coding RNA MALAT1 promotes tumour growth and metastasis in colorectal cancer through binding to SFPQ and releasing oncogene PTBP2 from SFPQ/PTBP2 complex. *Br. J. Cancer* 111, 736–748 (2014).
- Malakar, P. et al. Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma development by SRSF1 upregulation and mTOR activation. *Cancer Res.* 77, 1155–1167 (2017).
- 107. Michalik, K. M. et al. Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates endothelial cell function and vessel growth. *Circ. Res.* **114**, 1389–1397 (2014).
- Arun, G. et al. Differentiation of mammary tumors and reduction in metastasis upon Malat1 lncRNA loss. *Genes Dev.* 30, 34–51 (2016).
- 109. West, J. A. et al. The long noncoding RNAs NEAT1 and MALAT1 bind active chromatin sites. *Mol. Cell* 55, 791–802 (2014).
- Engreitz, J. M. et al. RNA-RNA interactions enable specific targeting of noncoding RNAs to nascent pre-mRNAs and chromatin sites. *Cell* 159, 188–199 (2014).
- Sun, Q., Hao, Q. & Prasanth, K. V. Nuclear long noncoding RNAs: key regulators of gene expression. *Trends Genet.* 34, 142–157 (2018).
- Kopp, F. & Mendell, J. T. Functional classification and experimental dissection of long noncoding RNAs. *Cell* **172**, 393–407 (2018).
- 113. Sridhar, B. et al. Systematic mapping of RNA-chromatin interactions in vivo. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 602–609 (2017).
- 114. Salmena, L., Poliseno, L., Tay, Y., Kats, L. & Pandolfi, P. P. A ceRNA hypothesis: the Rosetta Stone of a hidden RNA language? *Cell* 146, 353–358 (2011).
- Poliseno, L. et al. A coding-independent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. *Nature* 465, 1033–1038 (2010).
- Bosson, A. D., Zamudio, J. R. & Sharp, P. A. Endogenous miRNA and target concentrations determine susceptibility to potential ceRNA competition. *Mol. Cell* 56, 347–359 (2014).
- 117. Denzler, R., Agarwal, V., Stefano, J., Bartel, D. P. & Stoffel, M. Assessing the ceRNA hypothesis with quantitative measurements of miRNA and target abundance. *Mol. Cell* 54, 766–776 (2014).
- Hansen, T. B. et al. Natural RNA circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. *Nature* 495, 384–388 (2013).
- Kleaveland, B., Shi, C. Y., Stefano, J. & Bartel, D. P. A network of noncoding regulatory RNAs acts in the mammalian brain. *Cell* 174, 350–362.e317 (2018).
- 120. Kim, Y. K., Furic, L., Desgroseillers, L. & Maquat, L. E. Mammalian Staufen1 recruits Upf1 to specific mRNA 3'UTRs so as to elicit mRNA decay. *Cell* **120**, 195–208 (2005).
- 121. Gong, C. & Maquat, L. E. lncRNAs transactivate STAU1-mediated mRNA decay by duplexing with 3' UTRs via Alu elements. *Nature* 470, 284–288 (2011).
- 122. Zamore, P. D., Williamson, J. R. & Lehmann, R. The Pumilio protein binds RNA through a conserved domain that defines a new class of RNA-binding proteins. *RNA* 3, 1421–1433 (1997).
- 123. Miller, M. A. & Olivas, W. M. Roles of Puf proteins in mRNA degradation and translation. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA* **2**, 471–492 (2011).
- Lee, S. et al. Noncoding RNA NORAD regulates genomic stability by sequestering PUMILIO proteins. *Cell* 164, 69–80 (2016).
- Tichon, A. et al. A conserved abundant cytoplasmic long noncoding RNA modulates repression by Pumilio proteins in human cells. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12209 (2016).
- Munschauer, M. et al. The NORAD lncRNA assembles a topoisomerase complex critical for genome stability. *Nature* 561, 132–136 (2018).
- Ingolia, N. T., Lareau, L. F. & Weissman, J. S. Ribosome profiling of mouse embryonic stem cells reveals the complexity and dynamics of mammalian proteomes. *Cell* 147, 789–802 (2011).
- Ingolia, N. T. et al. Ribosome profiling reveals pervasive translation outside of annotated protein-coding genes. *Cell Rep.* 8, 1365–1379 (2014).
- 129. Yoon, J. H. et al. LincRNA-p21 suppresses target mRNA translation. Mol. Cell 47, 648-655 (2012).
- Carrieri, C. et al. Long non-coding antisense RNA controls Uchl1 translation through an embedded SINEB2 repeat. *Nature* 491, 454–457 (2012).
- 131. Wang, P. et al. The STAT3-binding long noncoding RNA lnc-DC controls human dendritic cell differentiation. *Science* **344**, 310–313 (2014).
- Liu, B. et al. A cytoplasmic NF-κB interacting long noncoding RNA blocks IκB phosphorylation and suppresses breast cancer metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 27, 370–381 (2015).
- Gutschner, T., Baas, M. & Diederichs, S. Noncoding RNA gene silencing through genomic integration of RNA destabilizing elements using zinc finger nucleases. *Genome Res.* 21, 1944–1954 (2011).
- Liu, S. J. et al. CRISPRi-based genome-scale identification of functional long noncoding RNA loci in human cells. *Science* 355, eaah7111 (2017).
- 135. Joung, J. et al. Genome-scale activation screen identifies a lncRNA locus regulating a gene neighbourhood. *Nature* **548**, 343–346 (2017).

NATURE CELL BIOLOGY

FOCUS | REVIEW ARTICLE

- 136. Bester, A. C. et al. An integrated genome-wide CRISPRa approach to functionalize lncRNAs in drug resistance. *Cell* **173**, 649–664.e620 (2018).
- 137. Konermann, S. et al. Transcriptome engineering with RNA-targeting type VI-D CRISPR effectors. *Cell* **173**, 665–676.e614 (2018).
- 138. Abudayyeh, O. O. et al. RNA targeting with CRISPR-Cas13. *Nature* **550**, 280–284 (2017).
- Simon, M. D. et al. High-resolution Xist binding maps reveal two-step spreading during X-chromosome inactivation. *Nature* 504, 465–469 (2013).
- Engreitz, J. M. et al. The Xist lncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome architecture to spread across the X chromosome. *Science* 341, 1237973 (2013).
- Murigneux, V., Saulière, J., Roest Crollius, H. & Le Hir, H. Transcriptomewide identification of RNA binding sites by CLIP-seq. *Methods* 63, 32–40 (2013).
- Van Nostrand, E. L. et al. Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with enhanced CLIP (eCLIP). *Nat. Methods* 13, 508–514 (2016).
- Cabili, M. N. et al. Localization and abundance analysis of human lncRNAs at single-cell and single-molecule resolution. *Genome Biol.* 16, 20 (2015).
- Li, R., Harvey, A. R., Hodgetts, S. I. & Fox, A. H. Functional dissection of NEAT1 using genome editing reveals substantial localization of the NEAT1_1 isoform outside paraspeckles. *RNA* 23, 872–881 (2017).
- 145. Shah, S. et al. Dynamics and spatial genomics of the nascent transcriptome by intron seqFISH. *Cell* **174**, 363–376.e316 (2018).
- 146. Chen, K. H., Boettiger, A. N., Moffitt, J. R., Wang, S. & Zhuang, X. RNA imaging. Spatially resolved, highly multiplexed RNA profiling in single cells. *Science* 348, aaa6090 (2015).

- 147. Chen, M. et al. A molecular beacon-based approach for live-cell imaging of RNA transcripts with minimal target engineering at the single-molecule level. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1550 (2017).
- Nelles, D. A. et al. Programmable RNA tracking in live cells with CRISPR/ Cas9. Cell 165, 488–496 (2016).
- 149. Colognori, D., Sunwoo, H., Kriz, A. J., Wang, C. Y. & Lee, J. T. Xist deletional analysis reveals an interdependency between Xist RNA and Polycomb complexes for spreading along the inactive X. *Mol. Cell.* https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.015 (2019).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to L. Yang for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2016YFA0100701), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB19020104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31830108, 31821004, 31725009, 31861143025), and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (55008728). We apologize to those whose work we were unable to cite due to space limitations.

Competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Correspondence should be addressed to L.-L.C.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Springer Nature Limited 2019